

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIL	JING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
11	1/14/2003	Jeremy Jian Mao	90977 3223		
7590	08/11/2004		EXAMINER		
WELSH & KATZ, LTD			BUMGARNER, MELBA N		
SIDE PLA	AZA			·	
R			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
O, IL 60606			3732	3732	
	7590 KATZ, L' SIDE PLA	11/14/2003 7590 08/11/2004 KATZ, LTD SIDE PLAZA R	11/14/2003 Jeremy Jian Mao 7590 08/11/2004 KATZ, LTD SIDE PLAZA R	11/14/2003 Jeremy Jian Mao 90977 7590 08/11/2004 EXAM KATZ, LTD BUMGARNEI SIDE PLAZA R ART UNIT	

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/714,834	MAO, JEREMY JIAN
Office Action Sum	mary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Melba Bumgamer	3732
The MAILING DATE of this		ars on the cover sheet with the c	
Period for Reply	• •		•
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the period for reply specified above is less - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended per	OMMUNICATION. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 that thirty (30) days, a reply with thirty (30) days, a reply will, by statute, correct months after the mailing days.	(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1) Responsive to communica	tion(s) filed on 14 Nov	vember 2003	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .		action is non-final.	
<u> </u>	,	ce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is
		parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	
Disposition of Claims	·		
•			
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending	• •	- f	
4a) Of the above claim(s) _		n from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allow	_		_
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected			•
8) Claim(s) are subject		election requirement	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Application Papers			·
9) The specification is objecte	•		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	_ · · ·	•	
• • • • •	• •	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	• •
·	-	n is required if the drawing(s) is ob	
11) The oath or declaration is o	bjected to by the Exa	miner. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a) All b) Some * c) N 1. Certified copies of th	~ .)-(d) or (f).
<u> </u>	•	have been received in Applicati	on No
<u></u>	•	y documents have been receive	
_ •	International Bureau	•	
• •		f the certified copies not receive	ed.
•		•	
Attachment(s)		n.□	(070 440)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin 	Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/11/04</u> .			Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/714,834 Page 2

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification does not enable one skilled in the art to make and/or use the invention to perform the method steps as claimed, i.e. the statement in the specification of "[a]n extraoral devise can be similarly be prepared for reshaping cranial bones by inducing osteogenesis as cranial sutures" (page 16) is not adequate disclosure. The specification describes the device for realigning teeth, how to use the device, and method of realigning teeth, but it does not describe the claimed invention other than repeating the claimed language.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear what is meant by "in a direction lateral to the sutures" and "amount of osteogenesis". It is unclear how cyclical forces applied to the cranial sutures (claim 7) is further limiting.

Application/Control Number: 10/714,834 Page 3

Art Unit: 3732

Conclusion

5. The examiner cannot reasonably ascertain how the claimed invention is operated due to the lack of description. The specification does not adequately explain the claimed method. The examiner's failure to apply prior art should not be construed as allowable subject matter. The prior art made of record after a preliminary search and not relied upon is considered relevant to

prior art made of record after a premimary scarcii and not rened upon is considered relevant to

applicant's disclosure. Fontenot (4,883,046), McLeod et al. (6,022,349), Remmler (5,993,448),

and Remmler (5,147,358) are cited.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to

Melba Bumgarner whose telephone number is 703-305-0740. The examiner can normally be

reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Melba Bumgarner

Mba Burngainer

Patent Examiner